UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,647	03/27/2006	Massimo Marrocco	2507-1078	2642
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 04/03/200 <b>OMPSON</b>	EXAMINER		
209 Madison St	reet	PHAM, THOMAS K		
Suite 500 ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2121	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No. Applicant(s)						
		10/565,647		MARROCCO, MASSIMO				
			Examiner		Art Unit			
			Thomas K. F		2121			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appe	ears on the c	over sheet with the d	correspondence a	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>27 Ma</i> .	rch 2006					
•		2b)⊠ This a		-final				
3)		<i>,</i> —			secution as to th	e merits is		
٥/١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	·	oo undor Ex	. parto Quay	70, 1000 0.0. 11, 10	50 0.0. 210.			
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) 12-23 is/are pending in the	application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-23</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or	election req	uirement.				
	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by th	o Evaminor						
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:			objected to by the	Evaminor			
10)		-	•	-				
	Applicant may not request that any obje					NED 4 4047 IV		
44	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Exa	ımıner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form P	10-152.		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2)  Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4 5 6	<b>                                    </b>	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/565,647 Page 2

Art Unit: 2121

## **First Action on the Merits**

1. Claims 12-23 of U.S. Application 10/565,647 filed on 03/27/2006 are presented for examination.

## **Quotations of U.S. Code Title 35**

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The claims and only the claims form the metes and bounds of the invention. "Office personnel are to give claims their broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the supporting disclosure. In re Morris, 127 F.3d 1048, 1054-55, 44 USPQ2d 1023, 1027-28 (Fed. Cir 1997). Limitations appearing in the specification but not recited in the claim are not read into the claim. In re Prater, 415 F.2d 1393, 1404-05, 162 USPQ541, 550-551 (CCPA 1969)" (MPEP p2100-8, c 2, I 45-48; p 2100-9, c 1, I 1-4). The Examiner has full latitude to interpret each claim in the broadest reasonable sense. The Examiner will reference prior art using terminology familiar to one of ordinary skill in the art. Such an approach is broad in concept and can be either explicit or implicit in meaning.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,647 Page 3

Art Unit: 2121

**Information Disclosure Statement** 

5. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 1/24/2006 is in compliance

with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being

considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. Claims 12, 13 and 15-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S.

Patent No. 5,421,204 ("Svaty").

As to claims 12, 13 and 15-23, Svaty teaches a system for monitoring and evaluating of the

structures integrity of transmission towers, buildings, bridges, freeway overpasses and the like

for determining damage or movement in the structure being monitored (e.g. col. 2 lines 29-45).

The system includes one or more strain sensors attached to the structure at selected points where

the data collected to be processed by controller 18 (e.g. col. 4 lines 21-26 and lines 57-68) for

detecting change due to age and deterioration of the structure and providing report of the

evaluation and decisions as to structural maintenance and replacement may be made to ensure

safety (e.g. col. 6 lines 8-25). Data are collected in real-time and compare to a baseline data to

determine the integrity of the structure (e.g. col. 5 lines 46-63).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. Claim 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No.

5,421,204 ("Svaty") in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,657,003 ("Fuentes").

As to claim 14, Svaty does not specifically disclose characterized in that the control station (C) is

equipped with means for communicating with the outside and/or with a broader monitoring

network including several building structures, as well as the main offices of firemen, hospitals,

police and all those aid and/or security forces which have to intervene in case of emergency and

danger for the safety of people or things.

However, Fuentes teaches a structure movement monitoring and emergency alarm system

that would notify places around a disaster site in critical locations such as rescue worker upon a

natural or manmade disaster which impacts the structural integrity of buildings bridges or other

large structures in a location in most danger of collapsing (e.g. col. 5 lines 1-29).

Svaty and Fuentes are analogous art because they are in the same field of endeavor of

detecting a structure integrity of a structure.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention to incorporate the alarm notification of Fuentes with the teaching of Svaty because it

would provide for the purpose of alarming persons in the vicinity of impending danger or

structure collapse about to happen.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,647 Page 5

Art Unit: 2121

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to examiner *Thomas Pham*; whose telephone number is (571) 272-

3689, Monday - Friday from 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM EST or contact Supervisor Mr. Albert Decady

at (571) 272-3819.

Any response to this office action should be mailed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O.

Box 1450, Alexandria VA 22313-1450. Responses may also be faxed to the official fax

number (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**Thomas Pham** 

/Thomas K Pham/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2121

April 3, 2008